



For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

36

ACK  
12.3.14

**Core Strategy Development Plan Document**

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

**Publication Draft - Representation Form**

**PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS**

\* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	BRITTEON	
Job Title (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Organisation (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	[REDACTED]	
Line 4	BRADFORD	
Post Code	BD10 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 7/3/14

**Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998**

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

# City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

For Office Use Only

Date

Ref

## PART B -- YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

### 3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	<input type="text"/>
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------	--------	----------------------

### 4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input checked="" type="text"/>

### 5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

First objection

#### Safety

Access to and from this site is to be just below the current access to property on Fagley Lane, approximately 30 metres from the roundabout. People living on the proposed site will also have the choice of using Fagley Lane which is already a problem for the 12 houses on this lane and also for people visiting the Vicarage or attending St. Lukes First School, particularly in the rush hour. Vehicles pushing out from either access will increase already congested rush hour traffic causing tailbacks. Access to the estate will also be difficult. As things presently stand drivers coming from Bradford will have to travel down to Ravenscliffe Avenue to do a U turn, as do the drivers accessing Hazelcroft.

I am also concerned about the safety of children attending St Lukes CE Primary School. The lane at this point is really narrow and the pavement is single file only. As a childminder said to me recently "I have seven children with me when attending the school".

# City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

For Office Use only

Date

Ref

## PART B -- YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

### 3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	<input type="text"/>
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------	--------	----------------------

### 4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (2) Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input checked="" type="text"/>

### 5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Second objection

The Riding School

I have no connection with Throstle Nest Riding School whatsoever but I strongly object to the taking of the fields and site used by this School on the grounds that they offer riding for the disabled. Having a disabled child in our family who does riding for the disabled, albeit not at Throstle Nest Riding School, I am fully aware of the service these Riding Schools provide for children born with disabilities. I am appalled that Bradford Council would even consider robbing these children of the only activity available to them. Children who use these schools grow in confidence because even if they are unable to do anything else they can at least sit on a horse and be equal to others. Throstle Nest has a brand new indoor riding arena opened by Princess Anne recently and offers top class facilities. As far as I am aware the nearest Riding School is at Bingley St Ives with another at Haworth. Both these schools offer riding for the disabled. Even if parents could take their children would these centres be able to accommodate over 50 disabled children ousted from Throstle Nest Riding School. The Riding School also offers leisure activities to able people who I am sure do not want to lose this.

# City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

For Office Use Only:

Date

Ref

## PART 8 -- YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

### 3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section  Paragraph  Policy

### 4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input checked="" type="text"/>

### 5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

#### Third objection

#### Services in the area

#### GP surgeries

I have today on the 5<sup>th</sup> March made an appointment to see my GP. The first available date is the 26<sup>th</sup> March, a period of three weeks. Depending on which Doctor patients wish to consult the waiting time is between one week and three weeks. Emergencies are seen the same day. Six hundred houses at four per house equates to 2400 new patients, who would have the choice of two surgeries in the area. GP surgeries might welcome the influx of new patients and the income they would bring but it would certainly be a poor lookout for existing patients.

#### Schools

Two children per house equates to twelve hundred children half of whom would possibly be primary school children, six hundred to St Luke's CE Primary School and six hundred to Fagley Primary School with the exception of the few choosing St Clare's RC Primary School. I presume a new site will be found for St Luke's school as it certainly could not accommodate so many children. Staff and pupils alike would not welcome the change from a small family school where children can flourish to a large school where staff could have problems remembering every child's name. Not suitable for young children.

# City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

For Office Use only

Date

Ref

## PART B -- YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section

Paragraph

Policy

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant

Yes


No


4 (2). Sound

Yes

No

4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Fourth objection

The Core Strategy Development Plan is to take green belt land on which to build houses. I strongly object to the use of green land. It is used by the people of the area. Not only does the Riding School have the use of the land but Eccleshill people use the old railway embankment to walk out into the unspoiled land which all of us enjoy. When you take this land what have we left. Run down Peel Park which nobody except dog walkers and drug addicts visit.

I approve of the use of the brown sites where house building is currently in progress in several areas in Bradford. I am happy for houses to be built on the old Quarry site off Fagley Lane so long as access is not through Fagley Lane. These houses would have access to Moorside Road and Leeds Road and vehicles could more readily disperse. I think that the area could possibly accommodate these houses BUT NOT SIX HUNDRED HOUSES.

**Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DfD) : Publication Draft**

**PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM**

Bradford Council would like to find out the views of groups in the local community. Please help us to do this by filling in the form below. It will be separated from your representation above and will not be used for any purpose other than monitoring.

Please place an 'X' in the appropriate boxes.